

# A CERTAIN TYPE OF AFFINE SURFACES WITH ISOMORPHIC CYLINDERS

RIKU KUDOU

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we study the structure of isomorphisms of  $S$ -bundles over a certain type of prevarieties, where  $S$  is a variety with vanishing logarithmic genera (for example,  $\mathbb{A}^n$  and  $\mathbb{P}^n$ ). As in the same way, for a certain type of affine surfaces  $W$ , we determine all varieties  $V$  such that  $V \times_k \mathbb{A}^1 \simeq W \times_k \mathbb{A}^1$ .

## INTRODUCTION

Let  $V$  and  $W$  be varieties over an algebraically closed field  $k$  with characteristic 0. The Zariski cancellation problem asks when the existence of an isomorphism  $V \times_k \mathbb{A}^1 \simeq W \times_k \mathbb{A}^1$  implies that  $V \simeq W$ . Following [6], we call a variety  $V$  a *Zariski 1-factor* if  $V \times_k \mathbb{A}^1 \simeq W \times_k \mathbb{A}^1$  implies  $V \simeq W$  for any variety  $W$ . There are many examples of Zariski 1-factors, but in 1989, W. Danielewski found non-Zariski 1-factors by using the following property of principal  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -bundles.

**Fact 0.1** ([2]). *Let  $X$  be a  $k$ -scheme, and let  $V$  and  $W$  be affine  $k$ -schemes which are principal  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -bundles over  $X$ . Then  $V \times_k \mathbb{A}^1 \simeq W \times_k \mathbb{A}^1$ .*

By using the same arguments, many examples of non-Zariski 1-factors are constructed. From these examples, we can consider the following problem.

**Problem 0.2.** Let  $Y$  be a prevariety, and let  $W$  be an affine variety which is a principal  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -bundle over  $Y$ . Then for any variety  $V$ , does  $V \times_k \mathbb{A}^1 \simeq W \times_k \mathbb{A}^1$  imply that  $V$  is affine and a principal  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -bundle over  $Y$ ?

In this paper, we will show the following main theorem (section 3).

**Theorem 0.3.** *Let  $Y$  be a 1-dimensional nonsingular prevariety (a prevariety means an integral scheme of finite type over  $k$ ), let  $Y'$  be a nonsingular curve with nonnegative logarithmic kodaira dimension (that is,  $Y'$  is neither  $\mathbb{A}^1$  nor  $\mathbb{P}^1$ ), let  $l: Y \rightarrow Y'$  be a dominant morphism, and let  $W$  be an affine variety which is a principal  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -bundle over  $Y$ . Then for any variety  $V$ ,  $V \times_k \mathbb{A}^1 \simeq W \times_k \mathbb{A}^1$  if and only if  $V$  is affine and a principal  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -bundle over  $Y$ .*

From this theorem and counter examples for the cancellation problem by Dryło ([3]), we find many non-Zariski 1-factors of the above type.

To show Theorem 0.3, we use the similar arguments as Fujita-Iitaka's cancellation theorem ([8]) and Nishimura's generalized version of it ([9]). In addition to Theorem 0.3, we will slightly generalize these theorems in section 2 as follows.

**Theorem 0.4.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be prevarieties, let  $Y'$  be a variety with  $\bar{\kappa}(Y') \geq 0$  and  $\dim Y' = \dim Y$ , let  $l: Y \rightarrow Y'$  be a dominant morphism, and let  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be varieties with vanishing logarithmic genera and  $\dim S_1 = \dim S_2$ . Let  $p: V \rightarrow X$  be a  $S_1$ -bundle,  $q: W \rightarrow Y$  a  $S_2$ -bundle. If  $\Phi: V \rightarrow W$  is an isomorphism, then there exists a unique isomorphism  $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$  such that the following*

diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & W \\ p \downarrow & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow q \\ X & \xrightarrow{\exists \phi} & Y \end{array}$$

Using this theorem, we show 2 corollaries (Corollary 2.5, 2.6) useful for the cancellation problem.

Before the proof of Theorem 0.3 and 0.4, we will give a criterion for principal  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -bundles over some good schemes to be affine (Theorem 1.6). The proof of Theorem 1.6 is almost the same as the affine criterion for principal  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -bundles by A. Dubouloz ([4]).

From these theorems and Drylo's examples ([3]), we can find all varieties which are non-Zariski 1-factors of the type in Theorem 0.3.

### 1. AFFINE CRITERION FOR PRINCIPAL $\mathbb{G}_a$ -BUNDLES OVER A CERTAIN TYPE OF NONSEPARATED SCHEMES

The purpose of this section is to prove Theorem 1.6, which gives a computable way to determine principal  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -bundles to be affine or not.

**Definition 1.1** (principal  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -bundle). Let  $X$  be a  $k$ -scheme, let  $V$  be a  $k$ -scheme with  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -action, and let  $p: V \rightarrow X$  be a morphism of  $k$ -schemes. Then  $(V, p)$  is called a *principal  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -bundle over  $X$*  if the following two conditions are satisfied:

- (1)  $p$  is  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -equivariant ( $\mathbb{G}_a$  acts trivially on  $X$ );
- (2) there exists an (Zariski) open covering  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  of  $X$  and a  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -equivariant isomorphism  $g_\lambda: p^{-1}U_\lambda \rightarrow U_\lambda \times_k \mathbb{G}_a$  for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  such that the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} p^{-1}U_\lambda & \xrightarrow{g_\lambda} & U_\lambda \times \mathbb{G}_a \\ p \downarrow & \swarrow \text{pr} & \\ U_\lambda & & \end{array}$$

**Remark 1.2.** Our definition of principal  $G$ -bundles for a group variety  $G$  is slightly different from the ordinaly one. But for an affine group variety, those definitions coincide.

**Remark 1.3.** There exists a one-to-one correspondence between isomorphic classes of principal  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -bundles over  $X$  and  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ .

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $X$  be a variety, let  $Z$  be a closed subvariety of  $X$ , let  $r$  be a natural number, and let  $X_0, \dots, X_r$  be copies of  $X$ . Then

$$X_{+r}Z := X \sqcup_{X \setminus Z} \underbrace{X \sqcup_{X \setminus Z} \cdots \sqcup_{X \setminus Z} X}_r = X_0 \sqcup_{X \setminus Z} X_1 \sqcup_{X \setminus Z} \cdots \sqcup_{X \setminus Z} X_r.$$

Namely,  $X_{+r}Z$  is a nonseparated  $k$ -scheme which looks like  $X$  with  $r$  copies of  $Z$ . We fix an open covering  $\mathcal{X}$  of  $X_{+r}Z$  to be  $\mathcal{X} = \{X_0, \dots, X_r\}$ .

**Lemma 1.5** ([7]). *Let  $X$  be a scheme, let  $Y$  be an affine scheme, and let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  be an open affine covering of  $X$ . Then for any morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $f$  is separated if and only if*

- (1)  $U_\mu \cap U_\lambda$  is affine for any  $\mu, \lambda \in \Lambda$ ;
- (2)  $\Gamma(U_\mu \cap U_\lambda, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is generated by  $\Gamma(U_\mu, \mathcal{O}_X)$  and  $\Gamma(U_\lambda, \mathcal{O}_X)$ .

The following theorem is a generalization of the affine criterion for principal  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -bundles by A. Dubouloz ([4]), but the proof is almost the same.

**Theorem 1.6.** *Let  $X = \text{Spec} A$  be an affine variety, let  $Z_1, \dots, Z_m$  be hypersurfaces of  $X$  defined by prime elements  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in A$ , and let  $Z := \bigcup Z_j$ . Let  $V$  be a principal  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -bundle over  $X_{+r}Z$  defined by a Čech cocycle  $[\{g_{ij}\}] \in H^1(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{+r}Z}) \simeq H^1(X_{+r}Z, \mathcal{O}_{X_{+r}Z})$ , where  $g_{ij} \in \Gamma(X_{ij}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{+r}Z}) = A_{f_1 \dots f_m}$  and as an element of  $A_{f_1 \dots f_m}$ ,  $g_{ij}$  can be written as follows;  $g_{ij} = f_1^{-k_{ij,1}} \dots f_m^{-k_{ij,m}} h_{ij}$ , where  $k_{ij,l} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  and  $h_{ij} \in A$  such that  $h_{ij}$  can not be divided by  $f_l$  if  $k_{ij,l} > 0$ .*

*If (a)  $r = 1$  or (b)  $r \geq 2$  and  $\emptyset \neq Z_{l_1} \cap Z_{l_2} \not\subset \bigcup_{l \neq l_1, l_2} Z_l$  for any  $l_1, l_2 = 1, \dots, m$ , then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1)  $k_{ij,l} \geq 1$  and  $(h_{ij}, f_1 \dots f_m) = A$  for any  $i, j = 0, \dots, r$  and  $l = 1, \dots, m$ .
- (2)  $V$  is separated.
- (3)  $V$  is affine.

*Proof.* (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is obvious. We show that (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) and (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3).

Let us denote  $(k_{ij,1}, \dots, k_{ij,m}) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$  by  $[k_{ij}]$ ,  $(1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$  by  $\mathbf{1}$ , and  $f_1^{k_{ij,1}} \dots f_m^{k_{ij,m}}$  by  $\underline{f}^{[k_{ij}]}$ .

First of all, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for  $V$  to be separated by using Lemma 1.5. By the definition of the open covering  $\mathcal{X}$  of  $X_{+r}Z$ ,  $X_i \cap X_j$  is affine for any  $i, j = 0, \dots, r$ . Thus  $V$  is separated if and only if  $\Gamma(X_i \cap X_j, \mathcal{O}_{X_{+r}Z})$  is generated by  $\Gamma(X_i, \mathcal{O}_{X_{+r}Z})$  and  $\Gamma(X_j, \mathcal{O}_{X_{+r}Z})$  for any  $i, j = 0, \dots, r$ . This condition equals to  $A_{f_1 \dots f_m}[t] = A_{g_{ij}}[t]$  for any  $i, j = 0, \dots, r$  with  $i \neq j$ , where  $t$  is indeterminate. this implies that  $V$  is separated if and only if  $A_{\underline{f}} = A_{g_{ij}}$  for any  $i, j = 0, \dots, r$  with  $i \neq j$ .

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Suppose the condition (1). Then there exists  $a, b \in A$  such that  $1 = ah_{ij} + b\underline{f}$ , and this implies that  $\underline{f}^{-1} = a\underline{f}^{[k_{ij}]-1}g_{ij} + b$  and  $k_{ij,l} - 1 \geq 0$ . Then it follows that  $A_{\underline{f}} = A_{g_{ij}}$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Suppose the condition (2). Then  $\underline{f}^{-1} \in A_{\underline{f}} = A_{g_{ij}}$ . Therefore  $\underline{f}^{-1}$  can be written as follows;

$$\underline{f}^{-1} = a_0 + a_1 g_{ij} + a_2 g_{ij}^2 + \dots + a_n g_{ij}^n,$$

where  $a_0, \dots, a_n \in A$  and  $n$  is an integer. (If  $n = 0$ , then  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  should be units in  $A$ .) By multiplying both sides of the equation by  $\underline{f}^{n[k_{ij}]}$ , we obtain the following equation,

$$\underline{f}^{n[k_{ij}]-1} = a_0 \underline{f}^{n[k_{ij}]} + h_{ij}s,$$

where  $s = a_1 \underline{f}^{(n-1)[k_{ij}]} + \dots + a_{n-1} h_{ij}^{n-2} \underline{f}^{[k_{ij}]} + a_n h_{ij}^{n-1}$ . From this equation, we can deduce that  $k_{ij,l} \geq 1$  for any  $l = 1, \dots, m$  because  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  are prime elements and distinct up to units. Moreover,  $s$  can be divided by  $\underline{f}^{n[k_{ij}]-1}$  because we take  $h_{ij}$  which is not in  $(f_l)$  for all  $l = 1, \dots, m$ . Then it follows that there exists  $s' \in A$  such that  $1 = a_0 \underline{f} + h_{ij}s$ . that is,  $A = (\underline{f}, h_{ij})$ .

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Suppose the condition (1). We first observe that there exists an index  $j' \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  such that  $k_{1j',l} = \max_j \{k_{1j,l}\}$  for all  $l = 1, \dots, m$ . Assume that there exists indices  $j_1, j_2 = 0, \dots, r$  and  $l_1, l_2 = 1, \dots, m$  such that  $j_1 \neq j_2$ ,  $l_1 \neq l_2$ ,  $k_{1j_1,l_1} > k_{1j_2,l_1}$ , and  $k_{1j_1,l_2} < k_{1j_2,l_2}$  for contradiction. Put  $\mu_l := \max\{k_{1j_1,l}, k_{1j_2,l}\}$  and  $[\mu] := (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^m$ . It follows from the cocycle condition  $g_{j_1 j_2} = g_{1j_2} - g_{1j_1}$  that

$$\underline{f}^{[\mu]-[k_{1j_2}]} h_{j_1 j_2} = \underline{f}^{[\mu]-[k_{1j_2}]} h_{1j_2} - \underline{f}^{[\mu]-[k_{1j_1}]} h_{1j_1}.$$

By the definition of  $\mu$  and  $h_{ij}$ , the right hand side of this equation is in  $(f_{l_1}, f_{l_2})$  but not in  $(f_{l_1})$  and  $(f_{l_2})$ . From this, it follows that  $\mu_{l_1} = k_{j_1 j_2, l_1}$  and  $\mu_{l_2} = k_{j_1 j_2, l_2}$ . On the other hand,  $\underline{f}^{[\mu]-[k_{1j_2}]} h_{j_1 j_2} \in (f_{l_1}, f_{l_2})$  implies  $\underline{f}^{[\mu]-[k_{1j_2}]} \in (f_{l_1}, f_{l_2})$  because  $h_{j_1 j_2}$  is a nonzero function on  $Z$  and  $Z_{l_1} \cap Z_{l_2} \neq \emptyset$ . But this contradicts to the assumption  $Z_{l_1} \cap Z_{l_2} \not\subset \bigcup_{l \neq l_1, l_2} Z_l$ , and thus we can choose an index  $j' \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  such that  $k_{1j',l} = \max_j \{k_{1j,l}\}$  for all  $l = 1, \dots, m$ .

Next, we show that there exists an affine morphism  $\psi: V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  by induction on  $r$ . If  $r = 0$ , then  $V$  should be isomorphic to  $X \times_k \mathbb{A}^1$ . Then the first (or second) projection of  $X \times_k \mathbb{A}^1$  is an affine morphism. Suppose the statement holds for a natural number  $r - 1$ . By the assumption,

there exists  $s_{ij} \in A$  such that  $\overline{h_{ij}s_{ij}} = 1$  in  $A/(f_1 \cdots f_m)$ . Define morphisms  $\phi_j: X_j \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  to be  $\phi_j(x, t) = s_{1j}(\underline{f}^{[k_{1j'}]}t + \underline{f}^{[k_{1j'}] - [k_{1j}]}h_{1j})$  and define morphisms  $\psi_j := \phi \circ g_j^{-1}: V_j \simeq X \times_k \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ . By the cocycle condition,  $\{\psi_j\}_{j=0, \dots, r}$  glue to a morphism  $\psi: V \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$ . Define  $H_j := g_j(Z \times_k \mathbb{A}^1) \subset V_j$ . Then  $\psi(H_1) = \phi_1 g_1^{-1} g_1(Z \times_k \mathbb{A}^1) = \{0\}$  and  $\psi(H_{j'}) = \phi_{j'} g_{j'}^{-1} g_{j'}(Z \times_k \mathbb{A}^1) = \{1\}$ . Thus  $\psi^{-1}(\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}) \subseteq V \setminus H_1$  and  $\psi^{-1}(\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{1\}) \subseteq V \setminus H_{j'}$ . Moreover,  $V \setminus H_1$  is a principal  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -bundle defined by the cocycle  $\{g_{ij}\}_{i,j \neq 1}$  and  $V \setminus H_{j'}$  is a principal  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -bundle defined by the cocycle  $\{g_{ij}\}_{i,j \neq j'}$  therefore it follows from the induction hypothesis that  $V \setminus H_1$  and  $V \setminus H_{j'}$  are affine. Therefore the restriction maps  $\psi|_{V \setminus H_1}: V \setminus H_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  and  $\psi|_{V \setminus H_{j'}}: V \setminus H_{j'} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^1$  are affine morphisms. Then it follows that  $\psi^{-1}(\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\})$  and  $\psi^{-1}(\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{1\})$  are affine. Namely,  $\psi$  is affine.  $\square$

## 2. GENERALIZATION OF THEOREMS OF FUJITA-ITAKA AND NISHIMURA

The main purpose of this section is to prove Theorem 0.4. As corollaries, We obtain the uniqueness of base schemes of principal  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -bundles in the special case (Corollary 2.5) and a criterion for principal  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -bundles over a certain type of schemes to be isomorphic to each other (Corollary 2.6).

### Definition 2.1.

- For a  $k$ -scheme  $X$ , we denote by  $X(k)$  the set of closed points ( $k$ -valued points) of  $X$ .
- A  $k$ -scheme  $X$  is called a *prevariety* if  $X$  is an integral scheme of finite type over  $k$ .
- A variety  $S$  is called a *variety with vanishing logarithmic genera* (or *VLG variety* for short) if  $S$  is a nonsingular variety with  $P_M(S) = 0$  for all  $M \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{\oplus \infty}$ , where  $P_M(S) = \dim_k H^0(\overline{S}, \Omega_{\overline{S}}^M(\log \partial S))$  is the logarithmic  $M$ -genus of  $S$  and  $(\overline{S}, \partial S)$  is a smooth completion of  $S$  with boundary  $\partial S$ .

We will slightly generalize Fujita-Iitaka's cancellation theorem [8] and the following Nishimura's theorem.

**Theorem 2.2** (Nishimura [9]). *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be varieties with  $\overline{\kappa}(Y) \geq 0$ , and let  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be VLG varieties with  $\dim S_1 = \dim S_2$ . Let  $p: V \rightarrow X$  be a  $S_1$ -bundle,  $q: W \rightarrow Y$  a  $S_2$ -bundle. If  $\Phi: V \rightarrow W$  is an isomorphism, then there exists a unique isomorphism  $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$  such that the following diagram is commutative.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & W \\ p \downarrow & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow q \\ X & \xrightarrow{\exists \phi} & Y \end{array}$$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be prevarieties, and let  $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$  be morphisms of prevarieties. If  $f$  and  $g$  coincide on closed points of  $X$ , then  $f = g$  as a morphism of prevarieties.*

The proof of Lemma 2.3 is the same as the one for varieties.

The next lemma is a part of the proof of Fujita-Iitaka's Cancellation Theorem ([8]).

**Lemma 2.4** ([8]). *Let  $X$  be a variety of dimension  $n$ , let  $Y$  be a variety of dimension  $n + 1$  with  $\overline{\kappa}(X) \geq 0$ , and let  $S$  be a VLG variety. If  $f: X \times_k S \rightarrow Y$  is a morphism, then  $f$  is not dominant.*

*Proof of Theorem 0.4.* We prove Theorem 0.4 with 4 steps as follows:

- (1) For any prime divisor  $C$  on  $X$ ,  $E = q\Phi p^{-1}C$  is a prime divisor on  $Y$ .
- (2) We can show the same statement as (1) locally (this process is necessary to deal with closed points of the prevaries  $X$  and  $Y$  as an intersection of prime divisors on some open subset).
- (3) We can construct a bijective map of sets  $\phi': X(k) \rightarrow Y(k)$  such that  $\phi \circ p = q \circ \Phi$ .
- (4) We can construct a morphism of prevarieties  $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $\phi|_{X(k)} = \phi'$  and  $\phi \circ p = q \circ \Phi$ .

(1) and (4) are almost the same as [8] and [9], but (2) and (3) contain a new part to deal with closed points of non-separated schemes.

(1) Let  $C$  be a prime divisor on  $X$ . By the local triviality of  $p$ , there exists an open affine covering  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  of  $X$  such that  $p^{-1}U_\lambda \simeq U_\lambda \times_k S_1$ . Take  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  such that  $U_\lambda \cap C \neq \emptyset$  and put  $C_\lambda := C \cap U_\lambda$ . Then we obtain the composition  $f: C_\lambda \times S_1 \rightarrow Y'$  as the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 C_\lambda \times S_1 & \xrightarrow{g_\lambda: \text{iso}} & p^{-1}C_\lambda & \hookrightarrow & V & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & W \\
 & \searrow & \downarrow & \circ & \downarrow p & & \downarrow q \\
 & & C_\lambda & \hookrightarrow & X & & Y \\
 & & & & & \circ & \downarrow l \\
 & & & & & & Y'
 \end{array}$$

(A curved arrow labeled  $f$  points from  $C_\lambda \times S_1$  to  $Y'$ .)

By Lemma 2.4,  $f$  is not dominant, and thus  $q \circ \Phi|_{p^{-1}C}: p^{-1}C \rightarrow Y$  is not dominant. Put  $E_C := \overline{q\Phi p^{-1}C}^Y$ , where the closure is taken in  $Y$ . Then  $E_C$  is irreducible closed subset of  $Y$  and  $q^{-1}E_C = q^{-1}(\overline{q\Phi p^{-1}C}^Y) \supseteq \Phi p^{-1}C$ . Then it follows that  $q^{-1}E_C = \Phi p^{-1}C$  because  $q^{-1}E_C$  is irreducible and  $\Phi p^{-1}C$  is prime divisor of  $W$ . As a consequence, we obtain the equality  $E_C = qq^{-1}E_C = q\Phi p^{-1}C$ .

(2) Put  $V_\lambda := p^{-1}U_\lambda$ ,  $W_\lambda := \Phi V_\lambda$ ,  $Y_\lambda := qW_\lambda$ , and  $q_\lambda := q|_{W_\lambda}$ . Then  $Y_\lambda$  is an open subset of  $Y$  because  $q$  is locally trivial. Put  $E_{C,\lambda} := \overline{q\Phi p^{-1}C_\lambda}^{Y_\lambda}$ , where the closure is taken in  $Y_\lambda$ . Then  $q^{-1}E_C \cap W_\lambda \supseteq q_\lambda^{-1}E_{C,\lambda} = q_\lambda^{-1}\overline{q\Phi p^{-1}C_\lambda}^{Y_\lambda} \supseteq \Phi p^{-1}C_\lambda$ . Moreover,  $q^{-1}E_C \cap W_\lambda$  and  $\Phi p^{-1}C_\lambda$  are prime divisors on  $W_\lambda$ . Thus  $q^{-1}E_{C,\lambda} = \Phi p^{-1}C_\lambda$ . As a consequence, we obtain the equality  $E_{C,\lambda} = qq_\lambda^{-1}E_{C,\lambda} = q\Phi p^{-1}C_\lambda$ .

(3) Let  $x \in X(k)$ . Then there exists  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  such that  $x \in U_\lambda$ . In  $U_\lambda$ ,  $x$  can be expressed as an intersection of prime divisors  $C_{1,\lambda}, \dots, C_{m,\lambda}$  on  $U_\lambda$  since  $U_\lambda$  is a variety. Put  $C_i := \overline{C_{i,\lambda}}^Y$  and  $E_{C,i,\lambda} := q\Phi p^{-1}C_{i,\lambda}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_1 &\simeq p^{-1}(x) \simeq \Phi p^{-1}(x) = \Phi p^{-1}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^m C_{i,\lambda}\right) = \bigcap_{i=1}^m \Phi p^{-1}(C_{i,\lambda}) \\
 &= \bigcap_{i=1}^m q_\lambda^{-1}(E_{C,i,\lambda}) = q_\lambda^{-1}\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^m E_{C,i,\lambda}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

The fiber of  $q_\lambda$  is not necessarily equal to  $S_2$ , but is a nonempty open subset of  $S_2$ . Therefore  $\dim \bigcap_{i=1}^m E_{C,i,\lambda} = \dim S_1 - \dim S_2 = 0$ . Moreover,  $\bigcap_{i=1}^m E_{C,i,\lambda} = q\Phi p^{-1}(x)$  is irreducible. Then it follows that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^m E_{C,i,\lambda}$  is a closed point of  $Y$ , denoted by  $y_x$ . In this way, we obtain a map  $\phi': X(k) \rightarrow Y(k); x \mapsto y_x$  of sets. Moreover,  $\phi'$  satisfies

$$q\Phi(v) \in q\Phi(p^{-1}p(v)) = q\Phi(\Phi^{-1}q^{-1}(y_{p(v)})) = \{y_{p(v)}\} = \{\phi'(p(v))\},$$

that is,  $q \circ \Phi = \phi' \circ p$ . The injectivity of  $\phi'$  also follows because  $x = p\Phi^{-1}q^{-1}q\Phi p^{-1}(x) = p\Phi^{-1}q^{-1}\phi'(x)$  for any closed point  $x \in X$ . Surjectivity of  $\phi'$  is obvious.

(4) For each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , we take a closed point  $a_\lambda \in S_1$  and define  $\phi_{\lambda,a_\lambda} := q \circ \Phi \circ g_\lambda \circ j_\lambda \circ a_\lambda: U_\lambda \rightarrow Y$ , where  $j_\lambda: U_\lambda \times \{a_\lambda\} \hookrightarrow U_\lambda \times S_1$  and  $a_\lambda: U_\lambda \simeq U_\lambda \times \{a_\lambda\}$ . Then, for any closed point  $x \in U_\lambda$ ,  $\phi_{\lambda,a_\lambda}(x) = \phi'(x)$ . Therefore by the Lemma 2.3, we can glue the morphisms  $\{\phi_{\lambda,a_\lambda}\}$  to a morphism  $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $q \circ \Phi = \phi \circ p$ . The converse morphism of  $\phi$  can be constructed in the same way. Moreover, the uniqueness of  $\phi$  follows from the equality  $\phi(x) = \phi(pp^{-1}(x)) = q\Phi(p^{-1}(x))$  for any closed point  $x \in X$  and Lemma 2.3.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be prevarieties, let  $Y'$  be a variety with  $\bar{\kappa}(Y') \geq 0$  and  $\dim Y' = \dim Y$ , let  $l: Y \rightarrow Y'$  be a dominant morphism, and let  $V, W$  be principal  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -bundles over  $X, Y$ , respectively. Then*

- (1)  $V \simeq W \Rightarrow X \simeq Y$ .
- (2)  $V \times_k \mathbb{A}^1 \simeq W \times_k \mathbb{A}^1 \Rightarrow X \simeq Y$ .

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $X$  be an affine variety with  $\bar{\kappa}(X) \geq 0$ , let  $Z_1, \dots, Z_m$  be hypersurfaces of  $X$  defined by  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in A$ , respectively, let  $Z := \bigcup Z_j$ , and for  $k = 1, 2$ , let  $V_k$  be a principal  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -bundle over  $X_{+r}Z$ . Then  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are isomorphic if and only if they are in the same orbit of the action by  $\text{Aut}(X_{+r}Z) \times \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X^\times)$ .*

*Proof.* The computation of this proof is almost the same as in [3]. Suppose that  $\Phi: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  is an isomorphism. Then by Theorem 0.4, there exists a unique automorphism  $\phi: X_{+r}Z \rightarrow X_{+r}Z$  which satisfies  $\phi \circ p_1 = p_2 \circ \Phi$ , where  $p_k: V_k \rightarrow X_{+r}Z$  is the canonical projection of principal  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -bundles for  $k = 1, 2$ . Put  $X'_i := \phi(X_i)$  and  $\mathcal{X}' := \{X'_0, \dots, X'_r\}$  which becomes an open covering of  $X_{+r}Z$ . Suppose that the principal  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -bundle  $V_1$  is defined by a Čech cocycle  $\{g_{ij}\} \in Z^1(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{+r}Z})$  and  $V_2$  is defined by a Čech cocycle  $\{g'_{ij}\} \in Z^1(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{O}_{X_{+r}Z})$ . Then the following diagram is commutative for each  $i, j = 0, \dots, r$  ( $i \neq j$ );

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 (X_i \cap X_j) \times_k \mathbb{A}^1 & \xrightarrow{g_i} & p_1^{-1}(X_i \cap X_j) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & p_2^{-1}(X'_i \cap X'_j) & \xrightarrow{g'^{-1}_i} & (X'_i \cap X'_j) \times_k \mathbb{A}^1 \\
 \downarrow \alpha_{ij} & & \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow \text{id} & & \downarrow \alpha'_{ij} \\
 (X_i \cap X_j) \times_k \mathbb{A}^1 & \xrightarrow{g_j} & p_1^{-1}(X_i \cap X_j) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & p_2^{-1}(X'_i \cap X'_j) & \xrightarrow{g'^{-1}_j} & (X'_i \cap X'_j) \times_k \mathbb{A}^1 \\
 \searrow \text{pr}_1 & & \downarrow p_1 & & \downarrow p_2 & & \swarrow \text{pr}_1 \\
 & & X_i \cap X_j & \xrightarrow{\phi} & X'_i \cap X'_j & & 
 \end{array}$$

where  $\alpha_{ij}(x, t) = (x, t + g_{ij}(x))$ ,  $\alpha'_{ij}(x', t) = (x', t + g'_{ij}(x'))$ . Moreover, by the commutativity of this diagram, there exists  $a_i \in \Gamma(X_i, \mathcal{O}_{X_{+r}Z}^\times) = A^\times$  and  $b_i \in \Gamma(X_i, \mathcal{O}_{X_{+r}Z})$  for each  $i = 0, \dots, r$  such that  $g'^{-1}_i \circ \Phi \circ g_i(x, t) = (\phi(x), a_i t + b_i)$ ,  $g'^{-1}_j \circ \Phi \circ g_j(x, t) = (\phi(x), a_j t + b_j)$ . This is because for an isomorphism  $f: A[t] \rightarrow B[t]$  of domains such that  $f|_A^B: A \rightarrow B$  is an isomorphism,  $f(t)$  should be equals to  $at + b$  where  $a \in B^\times$  and  $b \in B$  by the computation of degree of  $t$ . By the commutativity of the above diagram once again, we obtain the following equation;

$$a_i(x)t + b_i(x) + g'_{ij}(\phi(x)) = a_j(x)(t + g_{ij}(x)) + b_j(x).$$

Thus, gluing  $\{a_i\}$ , we obtain  $a \in \Gamma(X_{+r}Z, \mathcal{O}_{X_{+r}Z}^\times) \simeq \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X^\times)$ . Moreover, we have

$$g'_{ij}(\phi(x)) - g_{ij}(x)a(x) = b_j(x) - b_i(x),$$

that is, cocycles  $\{g'_{ij}(\phi(x))\}$  and  $\{g_{ij}(x)a(x)\}$  define principal  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -bundles isomorphic to each other.  $\square$

### 3. THE PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM

The purpose of this section is to prove Theorem 0.3.

**Lemma 3.1** ([5]). *Let  $V$  be an affine  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -surface. Then the GIT quotient  $V//\mathbb{G}_a$  is a nonsingular affine curve and there exists an open affine subset  $C \subseteq V//\mathbb{G}_a$  such that  $p^{-1}C$  is  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -equivariantly isomorphic to  $C \times_k \mathbb{G}_a$ , where  $p: V \rightarrow V//\mathbb{G}_a$  is the quotient morphism.*

**Theorem 3.2** ([6]). *Let  $X$  be a nonsingular affine curve, let  $W$  be an  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -fibered affine surface over  $X$ . Then  $W$  is Zariski 1-factor if and only if  $W$  is a line bundle over  $X$ .*

*Proof of Theorem 0.3.* It is easy to see that  $V$  is affine and nonsingular. Take a closed point  $a \in \mathbb{A}^1$  and define a morphism  $p' : V \rightarrow Y$  to be the composition  $V \simeq V \times_k \{a\} \hookrightarrow V \times_k \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow W \times_k \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow W \rightarrow Y$ . We show that  $p'$  satisfies the condition of the structure morphism of a principal  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -bundle over  $Y$ .

Suppose that  $V$  has no nontrivial  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -action for contradiction. Then  $V \simeq W$  holds by the cancellation theorem for varieties with no nontrivial  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -action ([1]), but this contradicts to that  $V$  has no nontrivial  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -action. Thus  $V$  has a nontrivial  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -action. We fix a nontrivial  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -action on  $V$ , denoted by  $\mu : \mathbb{G}_a \times V \rightarrow V$ .

Let  $B := V//\mu$  be the GIT quotient of  $\mu$  and  $p : V \rightarrow B$  be its quotient morphism. By Lemma 3.1,  $B$  is a nonsingular affine curve and there exists a nonempty open subset  $C \subseteq B$  such that the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C \times \mathbb{A}^1 & \xrightarrow{\text{iso}} & P^{-1}C & \hookrightarrow & V \\ & \searrow & \downarrow & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow p \\ & & C & \hookrightarrow & B \end{array}$$

Using the same arguments as Theorem 0.4, we obtain an injective morphism  $\phi : C \rightarrow Y$  such that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & p^{-1}C \times_k \mathbb{A}^1 & \hookrightarrow & V \times_k \mathbb{A}^1 & \xrightarrow{\Phi: \text{iso}} & W \times_k \mathbb{A}^1 \\ & & \downarrow \text{pr} & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow \text{pr} & & \downarrow \text{pr} \\ C \times \mathbb{A}^1 & \xrightarrow{\text{iso}} & p^{-1}C & \hookrightarrow & V & \circlearrowleft & W \\ & \searrow & \downarrow p & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow p & & \downarrow q \\ & & C & \hookrightarrow & B & & Y \\ & & & \searrow \text{ } \exists \phi & & & \downarrow l \\ & & & & & & Y' \end{array}$$

Next, we construct a morphism  $\psi : Y \rightarrow B$ . At first, we show that there exists a map  $\psi' : Y(k) \rightarrow B(k)$  of sets such that  $\psi' \circ q \circ \text{pr}_W = p \circ \text{pr}_V \circ \Phi^{-1}$ . For any closed point  $y \in \phi(C) \subseteq Y$ , there exists a unique closed point  $y \in C$  such that  $x = \phi(y)$ . On the other hand, for any closed point  $y \in Y \setminus \phi(C)$ , a morphism

$$\tau_y := p \circ \text{pr}_V \circ \Phi^{-1} \circ j_y : \text{pr}^{-1}q^{-1}(y) \hookrightarrow W \times_k \mathbb{A}^1 \simeq V \times_k \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow V \rightarrow B$$

is not dominant. (If  $\tau_y$  is dominant, then  $C \cap \tau_y \text{pr}_V^{-1}q^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset$ , but this contradicts to the construction of  $\phi$ .) Then it follows that the image of  $\tau_y$  is a closed point of  $B$ . we define a morphism  $\psi' : Y(k) \rightarrow B(k)$  of sets to be  $\psi'(y) = \text{Im} \tau_y$  for each  $y \in Y(k)$ . Then we have the equality  $\psi' \circ q \circ \text{pr}_W = p \circ \text{pr}_V \circ \Phi^{-1}$ . Let  $\{Y_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  be an open affine covering of  $Y$ . Put  $W_\lambda := q^{-1}Y_\lambda (\simeq Y_\lambda \times_k \mathbb{A}^1)$ ,  $(V \times_k \mathbb{A}^1)_\lambda := \Phi^{-1}(W_\lambda \times_k \mathbb{A}^1)$ ,  $V_\lambda := \text{pr}_V((V \times_k \mathbb{A}^1)_\lambda)$  and  $X_\lambda := pV_\lambda$ .

Next we observe that  $(V \times_k \mathbb{A}^1)_\lambda = V_\lambda \times_k \mathbb{A}^1$ . Put  $K_{y,\lambda} := \overline{\text{pr}_V \circ \Phi^{-1} \circ Q^{-1}(y)}^{V_\lambda}$ . Then  $(V \times_k \mathbb{A}^1)_\lambda = \bigcup_{y \in Y_\lambda} \Phi^{-1}Q^{-1}(y)$  and  $V_\lambda = \bigcup_{y \in Y_\lambda} K_{y,\lambda}$ . Moreover, we have  $\text{pr}^{-1}K_{y,\lambda} \supseteq \Phi^{-1}Q^{-1}(y)$ , and thus  $\text{pr}^{-1}K_{y,\lambda} = \Phi^{-1}Q^{-1}(y)$ . As a consequence, we obtain the equality  $(V \times_k \mathbb{A}^1)_\lambda = V_\lambda \times_k \mathbb{A}^1$ .

For each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , we take a closed point  $(a_{1,\lambda}, a_{2,\lambda}) \in \mathbb{A}^2$  and define

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\lambda, a_\lambda} : Y_\lambda &\xrightarrow{a_{1,\lambda}} Y \times_k \{a_{1,\lambda}\} \hookrightarrow Y_\lambda \times \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow W_\lambda \xrightarrow{a_{2,\lambda}} W_\lambda \times_k \{a_{2,\lambda}\} \\ &\hookrightarrow W_\lambda \times_k \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow V_\lambda \times_k \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow V_\lambda \rightarrow B. \end{aligned}$$

Then for any closed point  $y \in Y_\lambda$ ,  $\psi_{\lambda, a_{1,\lambda}}(y) = \psi'(y)$ . Therefore by Lemma 2.3, we can glue the morphisms  $\{\psi_{\lambda, a_{1,\lambda}}\}$  to a morphism  $\psi : Y \rightarrow B$ . Moreover,  $\psi$  is a surjective birational morphism and satisfies  $q \circ \text{pr}_V \circ \Phi^{-1} = \psi \circ p \circ \text{pr}_W$ .

The variety  $W_\lambda$  is isomorphic to  $Y_\lambda \times_k \mathbb{A}^1$  because  $W_\lambda$  is a principal  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -bundle over  $Y_\lambda$  and  $Y_\lambda$  is affine. By Theorem 3.2, we obtain an isomorphism  $F_\lambda : V_\lambda \simeq W_\lambda$ . In the same way as  $\psi$ , we obtain a surjective birational morphism  $f_\lambda : Y_\lambda \rightarrow B_\lambda$  such that  $f_\lambda \circ q = F_\lambda^{-1} \circ p$ . Moreover  $Y_\lambda$  and  $B_\lambda$  are nonsingular curves. Thus  $f_\lambda$  (and  $\psi_\lambda := \psi|_{Y_\lambda}^{B_\lambda}$ ) should be an isomorphism. In conclusion, we obtain the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} V_\lambda & \xrightarrow{F_\lambda} & W_\lambda & \xrightarrow{g_\lambda} & Y_\lambda \times_k \mathbb{A}^1 & \xrightarrow{f_\lambda \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{A}^1}} & B_\lambda \times_k \mathbb{A}^1 \\ \downarrow p_\lambda & \circlearrowleft & \downarrow q & \swarrow \text{pr}_{Y_\lambda} & & \searrow \text{pr}_{Y_\lambda} \circ (f_\lambda^{-1} \times \text{id}_{\mathbb{A}^1}) & \\ B_\lambda & \xleftarrow{f_\lambda} & Y_\lambda & & & & \end{array}$$

For a closed point  $x \in C_\lambda = B_\lambda \cap C$ , the fiber  $p_\lambda^{-1}(x)$  is just the orbit of  $\mu$  by the construction of  $p$  and  $p_\lambda$ . For a closed point  $x \in B_\lambda \setminus C_\lambda$ , we can not say that the fiber  $p_\lambda^{-1}(x)$  is just the orbit only by the construction. But thanks to the fact that each  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -orbits are closed ([10]) and are isomorphic to either  $\mathbb{A}^1$  or a closed point, we can deduce that  $p_\lambda^{-1}(x)$  is just the  $\mu$ -orbit. Therefore, the action  $\mu$  on  $V$  can be restricted on  $V_\lambda$ , denoted by  $\mu|_{V_\lambda}$ , and its quotient morphism is just  $p_\lambda : V_\lambda \rightarrow B_\lambda$ . By the commutativity of the above diagram, such a  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -action should be  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -equivariantly trivial, that is,  $p_\lambda : V_\lambda \rightarrow B_\lambda$  is a  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -equivariantly trivial morphism.

By the construction of  $p'$  and  $\psi$ , we have the equality  $p'_\lambda = \psi_\lambda^{-1} \circ p_\lambda$ . Then it follows that  $p'$  satisfies the condition of the structure morphism of a principal  $\mathbb{G}_a$ -bundle over  $Y$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] T. Bandman and L. Makar-Limanov, *Nonstability of the AK invariant*, Michigan Math. J. **53** (2005), no. 2, 263–281.
- [2] W. Danielewski, *On a cancellation problem and automorphism groups of affine algebraic varieties*, preprint, Warsaw (1989).
- [3] R. Drylo, *A note on noncancellable varieties*, Comm. Algebra **37** (2009), no. 9, 3337–3341.
- [4] A. Dubouloz, *Additive group actions on Danielewski varieties and the cancellation problem*, Math. Z. **255** (2007), no. 1, 77–93.
- [5] K. H. Fieseler, *On complex affine surfaces with  $\mathbf{C}^+$ -action*, Comment. Math. Helv. **69** (1994), no. 1, 5–27.
- [6] H. Flenner, S. Kaliman, and M. Zaidenberg, *Cancellation for surfaces revisited. I*, 2016. arXiv:math/1610.01805.
- [7] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. I. Le langage des schémas*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **4** (1960).
- [8] S. Iitaka and T. Fujita, *Cancellation theorem for algebraic varieties*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **24** (1977), no. 1, 123–127.
- [9] T. Nishimura, *On the existence of isomorphisms of schemes having isomorphic jet schemes*. Master's thesis, Tokyo Inst. Tech. (2017).
- [10] M. Rosenlicht, *On quotient varieties and the affine embedding of certain homogeneous spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **101** (1961), 211–223.